

# What Distinguishes Each of the Major Computing Disciplines?

**Barry M. Lunt**

Brigham Young University, Provo, Utah, USA, luntb@byu.edu

**Joseph K. Ekstrom**

Brigham Young University, Provo, Utah, USA, jekstrom@byu.edu

## ABSTRACT

Since the development of a model curriculum for information technology (IT), there are now five computing disciplines with model curricula. The question this raises in the minds of potential computing students is which is the right computing discipline for them. In 2005, the Joint Task Force of the ACM produced a document targeted directly at this question: *The Overview Report*. This document was developed by representatives from each of the five computing disciplines, including one of the authors.

Using *The Overview Report* and 6 years of experience in computing curriculum development as the primary source material, this paper presents insights into the characteristics of each of the computing disciplines, as well as the kind of students to whom each program appeals the most. This information will be highly valuable to faculty and students in computing disciplines around the world.

Keywords: Computing education; Information technology; Curricula

## 1. INTRODUCTION

In the early 1960s, the impact of the computer began to be wide enough that academia recognized the need to educate students in this discipline. Two disciplines quickly arose to address this need, computer science (CS) and information systems (IS).

Most CS programs arose from mathematics or engineering programs, and most early professors in CS had degrees in these parental fields. The emphasis was on the programming of computers, the development of algorithms, the theory of computing, and closely related topics. The applications of the computer were only minimally addressed.

Most IS programs arose within schools of business, particularly programs in accounting. One of the earliest and most widespread applications of computers was in accounting, and there was a great need to train students in this area. The emphasis was on the application of computers in the accounting field, including information system design, systems operation and maintenance, information system management, information technology, and accounting programs.

In the late 1980s, two additional programs began to emerge: computer engineering and software engineering. Electrical engineering had long been teaching students the particulars of digital circuits and logic design, and many EE graduates had been going to work for computer hardware giants such as IBM and Intel. As the need for such skills continued to grow, many EE programs around the nation began to formally define a program in computer engineering (CpE). It should be pointed out that these CpE programs were not created *ex nihilo*, but were rather a formalization and renaming of an option within EE which had existed for many years.

Software engineering (SE) grew out of the need for more rigorous methods of creating software, driven by the creation of continually larger and more complex programs. Examples of these very large and very complex programs include military command and control systems, avionics, digital telephone switches, aerospace and launch control, ballistic missile defense, and more recently operating systems and increasingly realistic computer

games. The creation of the discipline of SE is facilitating the application of rigorous and proven engineering design methods to the relatively new field of computer programming as a component of large system integration projects.

Information technology, the newest of the computing disciplines, grew out of the explosive growth in the use of the Internet as a result of the emergence of the world-wide web (WWW), which occurred in the early and mid-1990s. This discipline has grown very rapidly, due to the rate of expansion of the field of networked computing.

It should be acknowledged here that there are other programs in computing that are presently emerging, including programs such as informatics, bio-informatics, networking, and others. Since this paper cannot hope to include a discussion of all computing programs, we have chosen to focus on the five computing disciplines that have formally-defined curricula, as outlined by the ACM (Association for Computing Machinery), and as available at the ACM website (<http://www.acm.org/education/curricula.html>)<sup>1</sup>.

## 2. THE OVERVIEW REPORT

Since *Curriculum '68*<sup>2</sup>, the Association for Computing Machinery (ACM) and the Computer Society of the Institute of Electrical and Electronic Engineers (IEEE-CS) have endeavored to maintain a current and formally defined recommended curriculum for computer science programs<sup>3,4</sup>. Similar work has taken place since the early 1970s in the field of information systems, under the auspices of the ACM, the Association for Information Systems (AIS), and the Association of Information Technology Professionals (AITP). The 2001 update for the computer science curriculum (Computing Curricula 2001 – Computer Science<sup>5</sup>) pointed out the need for formal curriculum documents from CpE and SE, and also stated, “Once the individual reports have been completed, representatives from all the [computing] disciplines will come together to produce an overview volume that links the series together. That overview volume will contain definitions of the various computing disciplines along with an assessment of the commonalities that exist in the curricular approaches.”

This overview report was several years in the making, but has been available for almost three years as *Computing Curricula 2005*<sup>1</sup>. It represents a great deal of working together by leaders in each of the five computing disciplines and is very useful in defining and differentiating each of the computing disciplines.

The remainder of this paper provides a brief look into the five disciplines covered in *The Overview Report*. Since the authors are academicians in the field of IT, it will have an IT bias. Every attempt will be made to fairly represent the information, but this IT bias should be acknowledged from the start.

## 3. ACCREDITATION

In the academic world of computing as a whole, accreditation is quite fragmented. This section will discuss accreditation for each of the five computing disciplines, starting with computer science.

Computer science has generally been “a loosely organized network of scientists, researchers, and programmers”, rather than “a tightly organized body of practicing professionals.” (P 48, *The Overview Report*). Accordingly, most computer science programs have had little need or interest in accreditation. Today, only about 10% of CS programs in the U.S. are accredited. Those that are accredited have, in the past, been accredited through the Computer Science Accreditation Board (CSAB). In the year 2000, CSAB transferred accrediting responsibilities to the Computing Accreditation Commission (CAC) of ABET (formerly the Accreditation Board for Engineering and Technology; now just ABET).

Information Systems programs, generally being strongly affiliated with business schools or colleges, have had their own tradition of accreditation in association with their respective schools or colleges<sup>6</sup>. This tradition has been quite separate from accreditation in computer science, and has often been through The Association to Advance Collegiate Schools of Business (AACSB).

The more recent disciplines of CpE and SE are also somewhat disparate. Most engineering disciplines have had a very strong tradition and need for professional accreditation; since computer engineering has emerged from within EE, this tradition has been maintained. Most CpE programs are accredited, or are seeking accreditation. This accreditation is through the Engineering Accreditation Commission (EAC) of ABET.

Software engineering programs have few formal ties to traditional engineering programs, but since they are an engineering discipline, they are also being accredited through EAC of ABET. The first of these accreditations took place in 2003; since then, a total of ten SE programs have received accreditation.

In 2001, at the time work was begun on *The Overview Report*, there was no official body of IT programs, nor any formal IT curriculum. However, the Special Interest Group for Information Technology Education (SIGITE), which was formed in 2003, has since posted a draft of an IT model curriculum, and this draft, with revisions, is essentially complete, and is referenced in *The Overview Report*.

It should be noted here that, in the experience of the authors, what happens in accreditation for CpE, SE, and IT programs is being and will be looked to by many people outside the U.S. as an example. Many countries are looking into accreditation for their relatively new computing programs, and one of the models most often looked to is ABET. Of the five computing programs being discussed in this paper, only IS programs are sometimes not accredited through ABET.

#### **4. BRIEF DESCRIPTIONS**

Each of the five computing disciplines discussed in this paper has developed a brief description of their discipline. These are:

“*Computer engineering* is concerned with the design and construction of computers and computer-based systems. It involves the study of hardware, software, communications, and the interaction among them. Its curriculum focuses on the theories, principles, and practices of traditional electrical engineering and mathematics, and applies them to the problems of designing computers and computer-based devices.” (*The Overview Report*, p. 13)

“*Computer science* spans a wide range, from its theoretical and algorithmic foundations to cutting-edge developments....” “While other [computing] disciplines can produce graduates better prepared for specific jobs, computer science offers a comprehensive foundation that permits graduates to adapt to new technologies and new ideas.” (*The Overview Report*, p. 13)

“*Information systems* specialists focus on integrating information technology solutions and business processes to meet the information needs of businesses and other enterprises, enabling them to achieve their objectives in a effective, efficient way.” “All IS degrees combine business and computing coursework.” (*The Overview Report*, p. 14)

“*Information technology* ... refers to undergraduate degree programs that prepare students to meet the technology needs of business, government, healthcare, schools, and other kinds of organizations.” (*The Overview Report*, p. 14) “IT, as an academic discipline, focuses on meeting the needs of users within an organizational and societal context through the selection, creation, application, integration and administration of computing technologies.” (Computing Curricula: Information Technology Volume, p. 5)

“*Software engineering* is the discipline of developing and maintaining software systems that behave reliably and efficiently, [and] are affordable to develop and maintain....It seeks to integrate the principles of mathematics and computer science with the engineering practices developed for tangible, physical artifacts.” (*The Overview Report*, p. 15)

## 5. KNOWLEDGE AREAS: DIFFERENCES

Each of the five computing disciplines discussed in this paper have included in their model curriculum a one- or two-page list of *knowledge areas* that constitute their body of knowledge. In an effort to graphically portray these disciplines juxtaposed against each other, the detailed descriptions of each of these knowledge areas were compiled into pie charts that can assist in understanding the differences between these programs. There are 83 total knowledge areas. Many of them overlap or have much similarity, so these 83 knowledge areas were reduced to 15 emphasis areas for this paper. Additionally, since each formal curriculum also gives an idea how much time should be spent on each knowledge area, it was possible to combine subtopics within some knowledge areas. It is acknowledged that the graphs derived from this analysis (Figures 1-5) are somewhat oversimplified, but this was essential in order to reduce the complexity of the graphs as a whole.

In general, the graphs give great insight into each discipline. Computer engineering has a very strong emphasis on computer hardware (Figure 1); CS has a strong emphasis on algorithms & complexity, computer hardware, programming, and software life cycle (Figure 2). Information systems has a strong emphasis on developing information systems (Figure 3), and IT has emphases in human-computer interaction, information management (databases), development of information systems, networks, programming and security. Software engineering has their major emphasis in the area of the software life cycle.

Additionally, each computing discipline has a substantial body of knowledge that could not be adequately compared to the other computing disciplines. This is shown in the graphs under Other; details can be found by consulting the individual knowledge areas for each computing discipline.







Figure 1: Knowledge areas for Computer Engineering




**Figure 2: Knowledge areas for Computer Science**



**Figure 3: Knowledge areas for Information Systems**



**Figure 4: Knowledge areas for Information Technology**



**Figure 5: Knowledge areas for Software Engineering**

## 6. KNOWLEDGE AREAS: COMMONALITIES

It is not a surprise that there are substantial differences among these five computing disciplines. Nevertheless, it would be very surprising if there were not some commonalities, since they all claim to be a computing discipline. Analysis of the formal curricula shows that all five programs cover:

- Computer foundational topics
- Computer programming (including algorithms, implementation, and software quality)
- Capabilities and limitations of computers (including societal impact)
- Software lifecycle issues
- Processes, both computing and professional
- Advanced computing topics
- Professionalism (including interpersonal communications, teamwork, management, ethics, and legal constraints)
- Applications to join theory and skills (including labs, assignments, projects, etc.)
- Capstone projects

## 7. CONCLUSION

Since the emergence of the computer in the 1950s, computing devices have exponentially dropped in cost, size, and power consumption, while exponentially growing in speed, storage, and capability. These dramatic changes have made computing elements the most versatile and widely adopted electronic devices ever created. In turn, this versatility and wide adoption for diverse application domains have created the need for more subdisciplines within computing. Over the last 15 years this need has driven the creation of the computing disciplines of computer engineering, software engineering, and information technology. Each of these relatively new computing disciplines has completed accreditation standards, has had some programs already accredited, and has formally defined a model curriculum. These three new computing disciplines now take their place alongside the older and more familiar computing disciplines of computer science and information systems. This study of their accreditation and model curricula has described the character of each discipline in terms of their differences and similarities.

It is expected that there will yet be other computing disciplines in the years and decades to come. When that happens, we shall look forward to understanding their place in the expanding field of computing.

## REFERENCES

- Computing Curricula 2005: *The Overview Report*; <http://www.acm.org/education/curricula.html#CC2005>.
- Curriculum 68: Recommendations for the Undergraduate Program in Computer Science. *Communications of the ACM*, March 1968.
- Curriculum 78: Recommendations for the Undergraduate Program in Computer Science. *Communications of the ACM*, v.22.3, March 1979.
- Computing Curricula 1991. ACM Press, New York, 1991. Also at [www.computer.org/education/cc2001/final/index.htm](http://www.computer.org/education/cc2001/final/index.htm).
- CC 2001 Curriculum Guidelines for Undergraduate Degree Programs in Computer Science; <http://www.acm.org/education/curricula.html#cc2001-fr>
- Challa, Chandrashekar D, Kasper, George M, Redmond, Richard; Accreditation Process for IS Programs in Business Schools; *The Journal of Information Systems Education*, Summer 2005.

CE 2004 – Curriculum Guidelines for Undergraduate Degree Programs in Computer Engineering;  
<http://www.acm.org/education/curricula.html#CE2004>.

IS 2002 – Curriculum Guidelines for Undergraduate Degree Programs in Information Systems;  
<http://www.acm.org/education/curricula.html#IS2002>.

SE 2004 – Curriculum Guidelines for Undergraduate Degree Programs in Software Engineering;  
<http://www.acm.org/education/curricula.html#SE2004>.

Computing Curricula: Information Technology Volume; <http://www.acm.org/education/curricula.html#IT2005>.

### ***AUTHORIZATION AND DISCLAIMER***

*Authors authorize LACCEI to publish the paper in the conference proceedings. Neither LACCEI nor the editors are responsible either for the content or for the implications of what is expressed in the paper.*